

Proposal Title :	Rezoning of residential	land at Old Lismore Road, Murw	villumbah
Proposal Summar	Road, Murwillumbah fro Residential, facilitating proposal also seeks to	om the current R5 Large Lot Res a western expansion of the Hund amend the Tweed LEP 2014 to in	
PP Number :	PP_2015_TWEED_003_	00 Dop File No :	15/06962
roposal Details		S. S. K. SK.	A CONTRACTOR STREET
Date Planning Proposal Received	24-Apr-2015	LGA covered :	Tweed
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Tweed Shire Council
State Electorate :	TWEED	Section of the Act ;	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :	Old Lismore Road		
Suburb :	Murwillumbah	City :	Postcode: 2484
Land Parcel :	Lot DP 1046935		
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Matthew Todd-Jones		
Contact Number :	0266416603		
Contact Email :	Matthew.Todd-Jones@pl	anning.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact De	tails		
Contact Name :	Robyn Eisermann		
Contact Number :	0266702562		
Contact Email :	reisermann@tweed.nsw.	gov.au	
DoP Project Mai	nager Contact Details		2
Contact Name :	Jim Clark		
Contact Number :	0266416604		
Contact Email :	Jim.Clark@planning.nsw	.gov.au	

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	40
Gross Floor Area 🛛	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes	14		,
Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
	nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The proposal seel Large Lot Resider	objectives adequately describes the in ks to amend the Tweed LEP 2014 by re ntial to R2 Low Density Residential to f residential estate.	zoning residential land from R5
		nts to the Tweed LEP 2014 are sought density character of the locality.	to the wider Hundred Hills estate
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s	s55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	-	f provisions adequately addresses the planning proposal.	e intended method of achieving the
		ot 1 DP 1046935 the proposal seeks to	amend the LEP's Land Zoning map vill also include amendments to the

Lots that are included in the Housekeeping Review have been split into five groups: Group 1 lots currently zoned R1 General Residential are to be rezoned as R2 Low Density Residential with subsequent amendments to the LEP's Land Zoning Map, Minimum Lot Size map to 450m2, Height of Buildings map to 9m and Floor Space Ratio map to 0.8:1.

Group 2 lots currently zoned R1 General Residential are to be rezoned as B1 Neighbourhood Centre with subsequent amendments to the Land Zoning map to B1 Neighbourhood Centre, Minimum Lot Size map to show no minimum lot size, Height of Buildings map to 13.6m and Floor Space Ration map to 2:1.

Group 3 lots currently part zoned R1 General Residential and part RE2 Private Recreation are to be rezoned R2 Low Density Residential with subsequent amendments to the Land Zoning map to R2 Low Density Residential, Minimum Lot Size map to 450m2, Height of Buildings map to 9m and Floor Space Ratio map to 0.8:1.

Group 4 lots currently part zoned R2 Low Density Residential and part RE2 Private Recreation are to be rezoned R2 Low Density Residential with subsequent amendments to the Land Zoning map to R2 Low Density Residential, Minimum Lot Size map to 450m2, Height of Buildings map to 9m and Floor Space Ratio map to 0.8:1.

Group 5 lots currently zoned RE2 Private Recreation are to be fully zoned as RE1 Public Recreation with subsequent amendments to the Land Zoning map to RE1 Public Recreation and the Height of Buildings map to 10m.

### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

See the assessment section of this report.

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains maps which adequately show the proposed changes to Lot 1 DP 1046935 and lots within the Housekeeping Review. The current and proposed Land Use Zoning maps, and proposed Lot Size, Height of Buildings and Floor Space Ratio maps are included in the planning proposal.

Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)					
	ation been proposed? <b>Yes</b>					
Comment:	The planning proposal states whilst the proposal is small, the expansion of the					
	established and emerging residential area will be of interest to the local community. As such the proposal nominates a 28 day community consultation period.					
Additional Director General's requirements						
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No					
If Yes, reasons :						
Overall adequacy of	the proposal					
Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>					
If No, comment :	Timeline The planning proposal includes a project timeline which estimates the completion of the proposal in January/February 2016. To ensure the RPA has adequate time to complete exhibition, reporting and legal drafting it is recommended that a time frame of 9 months in appropriate.					
	Delegation Tweed Shire Council has not accepted delegation for making LEPs. Council has not sought an Authorisation to exercise delegation for this proposal. An Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of Plan Making Functions has not been provided. It is recommended that an Authorisation for the execution of delegation not be issued to Tweed Shire Council in this instance.					
	Overall Adequacy The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by; 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes. 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve the outcomes. 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal. 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program. 5. Providing a project time line.					
Proposal Assessment						
Principal LEP:						
Due Date :						
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Tweed LEP commenced on the 4th April 2014. This planning proposal seeks to make amendments to the Tweed LEP 2014.					

# **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal is not the result of any strategic study. Lot 1 DP 1046935, hereafter referred to as 'the subject site', is not currently included within an approved or draft local
	residential strategy, nor is it mapped within the Far North Coast Regional Strategy
	(FNCRS) 'Town and Village Growth Boundary'. However, the subject site does adjoin this
	boundary to the north, east and south east and is adjacent to future potential urban land
	identified in the Tweed Urban and Employment Land Release Strategy (TUELRS) 2009. The
	site is relatively unconstrained and in a sustainable location with easy access to existing
	services. It will effectively become an infill area that connects the otherwise separated
	urban areas.
	The second

The subject site's proponent originally requested that the site be rezoned as an R1 General

Residential zone. After review by the Council, rezoning of the site as an R2 Low Density Residential zone was considered more desirable and appropriate as it better encompassed the site conditions, built form and character of the existing residential estate. The low density development of the site will also ensure a more sustainable use of the local infrastructure. This planning proposal also seeks to rezone Lots from the Council's housekeeping review of the existing Hundred Hills estate, which is located within the FNCRS Town and Village Growth Boundary. This will ensure a consistent and complementary statutory framework to development now constructed and better reflects the land uses of the locality.

The proposed LEP amendments are the best means of achieving the objectives of the planning proposal. They will ensure a consistent statutory framework for the estate, with complementary zones and development standards.

Consistency with strategic planning framework : Far North Coast Regional Strategy (FNCRS)

The subject site is located outside the Town and Village Growth Boundary of Murwillumbah and Bray Park. To address this, an assessment against the Sustainability Criteria of the FNCRS has been provided. The site would represent a sustainable urban expansion; is already zoned R5 (rural-residential); is adjacent to an existing residential zone, and would not place unacceptable pressure on State or local infrastructure services.

The assessment against the Sustainability Criteria is satisfactory. Accordingly there is no inconsistency with the Regional Strategy, or therefore with section 117 direction 5.1.

The FNCRS states that the Tweed Local Government Area will require an additional 19,100 dwellings up to 2031 and the higher use of the site for approximately 40 residential lots would assist in achieving this housing target.

#### SEPPs

The proposal indicates that SEPP 44 – Koala Habitat Protection and SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land are relevant to the subject land.

A Terrestrial Flora & Fauna assessment was undertaken on the site which found no known koala habitat or core koala habitat. The planning proposal also states that a Contamination Assessment has been undertaken and subsequent remediation has been carried out to make the site suitable for residential uses.

The proposed LEP amendments would not be inconsistent with these SEPPs.

The planning proposal is of a scale and nature that will not trigger the application of Schedule 3 Traffic generating development to be referred to the RTA of the SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007.

#### S117 Directions

The following S117 directions are applicable to the proposal, 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.3 Home Occupations, 3.4 Integrating Land, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, 4.3 Flood Prone Land, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies, 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements, 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.

Directions 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands do not apply to this proposal as although the subject site has been viewed as a rural zone in light of its rural setting, it is formally a residential zone.

#### 3.1 Residential Zones

The majority of the lots subject to the housekeeping review are proposed to be rezoned from R1 to R2 which removes the option of medium density development. The amendment to the zoning would be inconsistent with this Direction as it will alter the permissibility of the (currently permitted) residential flat buildings and tourist and visitor accommodation. However, the rezoning of these lots will represent an appropriate use of the land and provides consistency in zoning for future development. In these circumstances the inconsistency can be justified as of minor significance.

#### 4.3 Flood Prone Land

Areas of land along the western and southern boundaries of the subject site are identified as flood prone. The direction requires that development not be intensified unless justified by a Flood Risk Management Plan.

This area is currently zoned R5 Large Lot Residential and is proposed to be zoned R2 consistent with the remainder of the site. The flood-liable land has been identified within the supporting studies as unsuitable for residential development and is proposed for future drainage purposes. The supporting bulk earthworks study has been designed to

leave the flood prone area as a natural drainage area. In these circumstances the inconsistency can be justified as of minor significance.

#### 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This direction applies as land within the Council's Housekeeping Review to the north of the subject site is mapped as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a gateway determination has been issued and prior to public exhibition. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the direction remains unresolved.

The proposal is consistent with all other relevant Section 117 Directions.

Environmental social economic impacts :

#### Biodiversity

The subject site is generally void of vegetation. However, a Terrestrial Flora and Fauna Assessment has been submitted with the Planning Proposal, which has identified an Endangered Species on the site (Davidson's Plums) and provides a Management Plan for this species. The Council has accepted the study for the purposes of the planning proposal but recommends additional investigations/actions that will be required in association with future development.

#### Topography

The subject site is characterised by varying degrees of sloping land and a natural drainage channel runs east to west along the southern boundary of the site. Additional studies have been undertaken to ensure development could be integrated within the wider locality and appropriate infrastructure can be provided to the site. The bulk earthworks study has identified that the regrading of the existing landform will be required. This would change the landform from excessively steep sloping natural terrain to a design profile that will be able to accept house construction compatible with the rest of the development.

#### Flooding

A Conceptual Stormwater assessment has been submitted with the Planning Proposal which demonstrates a level of confidence that flooding or stormwater runoff to the surrounding development will have no adverse impacts on water quality or quantity.

#### Bushfire Prone Land

There is no part of the subject site that is identified within the Council's Bushfire Prone Land Map as being Bushfire Prone. However, part of a site to the north of the subject site which was included in the Council's Housekeeping Review for rezoning is mapped as being Bushfire Prone. No Bushfire Risk Assessment Report has been submitted to support this Planning Proposal.

Further consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service will be required during the community consultation stage of the Planning Proposal to comply with the Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.

#### Aboriginal and Cultural Heritage

The Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence highlights that there are no known sites of Aboriginal Heritage Cultural value in close proximity. The Tweed Byron Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) has identified a ridgeline at the north western edge of the subject site that has greater potential to contain campsite than surrounding areas. A recommendation of the Due Diligence is to invite a member of the Tweed Byron LALC to monitor initial earthworks on this area of the site. This can occur at development stage.

#### Land Contamination

On the basis of site investigations carried out for the Contaminated Land Study submitted with the Planning Proposal the subject site is considered suitable for the proposed residential use.

#### Social

The subject land is located adjacent to an urban area which has been developed for residential purposes. The potential yield of 40 lots is unlikely to have a significant impact on social infrastructure in the area, such as schools, the local hospital and retail and

### commercial space.

Whilst the planning proposal does not specifically seek any community facilities, any future subdivision will require the provision, or contribution towards both passive and active open space.

#### Economic

The planning proposal states that the proceeding development of the subject site could contribute to the local economy by generating the demand for an additional retail floorspace in the locality and yielding approximately 5 jobs. The development of the site would also contribute to the efficient use of existing infrastructure, such as water and sewerage systems and telecommunications, without causing detriment.

### Assessment Process

		Period			
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delega	ation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Se	ervice			
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes	•		
If no, provide reasons	:				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reaso	ns:				
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required	1:			
No internal consultat	ion required				
Is the provision and fu	nding of state infrastru	cture relevant to this p	lan? No		
If Yes, reasons :		-			
cuments					
			DocumentType N		ls Public

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
2015-05-04 - Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
2015-05-04 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
2015-05-04 - Planning committee report April 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
2015-05-04 - Area of Bushfire Prone Land Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
2015-05-04 - Planning Team Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

reparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
.117 directions:	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.3 Home Occupations		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport		
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land		
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection		
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions		
dditional Information :	It is recommended that:		
	1. The planning proposal should proceed as a 'routine' planning proposal.		
	2. A community consultation period of 28 days is necessary.		
	3. The planning proposal is to be completed within 9 months.		
	4. A delegate of the Director General agrees that the inconsistencies of the proposal		
	with S117 Directions 3.1 and 4.3 are justified in accordance with the terms of the		
	direction.		
	5. The RPA consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service in accordance		
	with the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.		
	6. The Director General (or his delegate) notes the current inconsistency with section		
	117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and that it is anticipated with		
	inconsistency will need to be resolver prior to the proposal being finalised. 7. A copy of each of the studies submitted with the Planning Proposal should be		
	7. A copy of each of the studies submitted with the Planning Proposal should be exhibited with the proposal to allow review by the community and any public referral		
	agency.		
_			
upporting Reasons	The reasons for the recommendation are as follows;		
	<ol> <li>The proposal to rezone the subject site will better encompass the characteristics of the existing adjacent estate and ensure a more sustainable use of the local infrastructure</li> </ol>		
	<ol><li>The proposal to rezone lots from the Council's housekeeping review will ensure a consistent statutory framework for the continued development of the Hundred Hills</li></ol>		
	estate.		
	O		
lignature:	- X- Z		
$\sim$	VIMCLARK Date: 4 May 2015		
Printed Name:	VIMCLARK Date: 4 May 2015		

a dealer was a second and a second second

ŝ

12	
	14 - C
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	